TO THE

Approved For Release 2005/04/21: CIA-RDP62S00231A000100160037-7

Chief, St/PB/A

14 April 1959

THRU : Chief, D/I Chief, I/ME

Table 2, Page 1, Economic Intelligence Statistical Handbook, CIA/RA-12 R2

1. It is requested that in lieu of repeating the format of referenced table in the handbook now in the process of revision, the presentation be restricted to the following:

Table 2

Distributive Shares of Gross National Product in the USSR, 1953

Percent of Total

	And the second second second second second second
Consumption	61-63
Lavestment	25-27
Defense	10-12
Administration	2

- a/ The percentages in this table are based on adjusted value added which equals GMP at market prices plus subsidies minus indirect taxes. The ranges which attach to the underlying estimates prevent a more precise statement of the distribution of final product among the end uses.
- 2. The above restricted presentation is suggested to present the range of our uncertainty, to obviate any possible confusion that might be instilled in the consumer should minor procedural corrections be made and to discourage the unwary from attempting to make judgements based on magnitudes which are not significant in that they fall within the range of error.

25X1A9	A
]	
e te arti	

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/04/21 : CIA-RDP62S00231A000100160037-7

	April 1959	
Project CO.2103, Recommic Intelligence Statistical Earthor	DE.	
1. Attached are the completed work sheets and Estimate 3: 2. We do not have adequate information to check, or add		
entries for Vestern production of Land ersesents.	y and a second	
3. We believe that the table of Soviet military-economic		:ES
3. We believe that the teble of Soviet military-economic should be limited to the years 1957-58 and, as originally should include the announced Soviet budget for Defense for The reasons for limiting the presentation to the two years are as follows. First, we are reluctent to circulate some	proposed, r comparisons rs specifie	on. ed
should be limited to the years 1957-58 and, as originally should include the announced Soviet budget for Defense for The reasons for limiting the presentations to the two years	proposed, r comparisons specific s extensive he projection projection	on. ed e lond
should be limited to the years 1957-58 and, as originally should include the announced Soviet budget for Defense for The reasons for limiting the presentations to the two years as follows. First, we are rejustent to circulate some results without suitable explanatory material. Second, to (1959-63) are nost subject to change. Third, without the and the explanatory material, a misleading impression of	proposed, r comparisons specific s extensive he projection projection	na. ed e lopu
should be limited to the years 1957-58 and, as originally should include the amounced Soviet budget for Defense for The reasons for limiting the presentations to the two years are as follows. First, we are relucted to circulate some results without suitable explanatory material. Second, to (1959-63) are nost subject to change. Third, without the and the explanatory material, a misleading impression of these Soviet expenditures might be given.	proposed, r comparisons specific s extensive he projection projection	on. ed e lond
should be limited to the years 1957-58 and, as originally should include the amounced Soviet budget for Defense for The reasons for limiting the presentations to the two years are as follows. First, we are relucted to circulate some results without suitable explanatory material. Second, to (1959-63) are nost subject to change. Third, without the and the explanatory material, a misleading impression of these Soviet expenditures might be given.	proposed, reciprosed, respective extensive projection the direct:	na. ed e lopu

Distribution

25X1

Orig. & 1 - Addressee

1 - St/PB

7 _ m/T

P TAME

25X1A9A